

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/756,906	01/10/2001	Errol O. Kendall	1986.002.00	6340		
30827 7	590 04/07/2006		EXAMINER			
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW			HAVAN, THU THAO			
WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3624			
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/200	DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			906	KEN	KENDALL ET AL.				
			er	Art I	Art Unit				
			o Havan	3624					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	on appears on t	he cover sheet	with the corres	pondence ad	ldress			
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INTERPRETARIES IN LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INTERPRETARIES IN STATE OF THE MAILING INTERPRETARIES IN THE MAILING INTERPRETARIES INTERPRETARIES IN THE MAILING INTERPRETARIES IN THE MAILING INTERPRETARIES INTERPR	NG DATE OF CFR 1.136(a). In no tion. period will apply and y statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUI event, however, may will expire SIX (6) M pplication to become	NICATION. To a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mail ABANDONED (35 L	d ling date of this c J.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	NG January 20	206						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 January 2006.</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)	, <u> </u>								
-/	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims	<u>_</u> <u>_</u>	,,		J. L . J.				
·		Pation							
	Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· —	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
7)	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> is/are rejected. ☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election	requirement						
	ion Papers		· oquii oiii oiii.						
	•								
	The specification is objected to by the Exa		<u></u>						
اا(۱۰	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		· ·	•					
	Applicant may not request that any objection t	= -	•		• •				
111	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c The oath or declaration is objected to by the								
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	ile Examilier. I	vote the attach	ied Office Actio	n or ionn Pi	IO-152.			
	-								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) o	r (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu								
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu					01			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B			en received in t	nis National	Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for	•	` ''	at received					
	The the distance detailed entire action for	a list of the cer	uneu copies in	ot received.					
Attachmen	tie)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Intension	v Summary (PTO-4	113)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date	<u> </u>				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date	SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)6) Other:						

Detailed Action

Response to Amendment

Claims 1-55 are pending. This action is in response to the remarks received January 6, 2006.

Response to Arguments

The rejection of claims 1-12, 14, and 16-24 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over Ogawa et al (US 2001/0023404) is maintained.

Applicant's arguments filed January 6, 2006have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to the arguments concerning the previously rejected claims the following comments are made:

- A.) In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a product value appraisal system) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).
- B.) Applicant alleges that the prior art made of record fails to teach generates a rating corresponding to the proposal. The examiner disagrees with applicant's representative since Ogawa teaches generates a rating corresponding to the proposal (para. 0035, 0016, and 0079; fig. 14). In other words, Ogawa generates a rating corresponding to

Art Unit: 3624

the proposal by provides up-to-date commodity information and rate quote. In that a customer, consumer, shopper or user U1 or user U2 connects to the server via the Internet from a computer terminal and inputs a request for an insurance premium or rate quote from insurance companies A, B, and C.

With regards to the claims rejected as anticipated over Ogawa, the examiner would like to point out that the reference teaches the claimed limitations and thus provides adequate support for the claimed limitations. Therefore, the examiner maintains that Ogawa anticipated the claimed limitations.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3624

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Thao Havan whose telephone number is (571) 272-8111. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (571) 272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct-uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

TTH 4/2/2006

> HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER